UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
TAMI R. PALADINO, Plaintiff,	REPLY DECLARATION OF KATHERINE S. MCCLUNG, ESQ.
v. ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,	Civil Action No. 6:22-cv-06028-FPG
Defendant.	
Katherine S. McClung, Esq. declares, pursuant to 28 U.S.	C. § 1746, that the following is
true and correct:	
1. I am a member of the law firm of Bond, Schoened	ek, & King PLLC and serve as
counsel to Defendant Rochester Institute of Technology in the abo	ve-referenced action.
2. I submit this reply declaration in further support of the Defendant's Motion to	
Dismiss the Amended Complaint.	
3. A copy of the Division of Human Right's Notice and	d Final Order is attached hereto
as Exhibit 1.	

/s/ Katherine S. McClung

Katherine S. McClung, Esq.

DATED: April 19, 2022

EXHIBIT 1



NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION **OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

on the Complaint of

TAMI R. PALADINO,

Complainant,

ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

v.

Respondent.

Federal Charge No. 16GB903452

NOTICE AND FINAL ORDER

Case No. 10201570

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the attached is a true copy of the Recommended Order of Dismissal for Administrative Convenience ("Recommended Order"), issued on August 25, 2021, by Michael T. Groben, an Administrative Law Judge of the New York State Division of Human Rights ("Division"). An opportunity was given to all parties to object to the Recommended Order, and all Objections received have been reviewed.

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT, UPON REVIEW, THE RECOMMENDED ORDER IS HEREBY ADOPTED AND ISSUED BY THE HONORABLE LICHA M. NYIENDO, COMMISSIONER, AS THE FINAL ORDER OF THE NEW YORK STATE **DIVISION OF HUMAN RIGHTS ("ORDER")**. In accordance with the Division's Rules of Practice, a copy of this Order has been filed in the offices maintained by the Division at One Fordham Plaza, 4th Floor, Bronx, New York 10458. The Order may be inspected by any

Case 6:22-cv-06028-FPG-MWP Document 13-1 Filed 04/19/22 Page 4 of 7

member of the public during the regular office hours of the Division.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any party to this proceeding may appeal this

Order to the Supreme Court in the County wherein the unlawful discriminatory practice that is

the subject of the Order occurred, or wherein any person required in the Order to cease and desist

from an unlawful discriminatory practice, or to take other affirmative action, resides or transacts

business, by filing with such Supreme Court of the State a Petition and Notice of Petition, within

sixty (60) days after service of this Order. A copy of the Petition and Notice of Petition must

also be served on all parties, including the General Counsel, New York State Division of Human

Rights, One Fordham Plaza, 4th Floor, Bronx, New York 10458. Please do not file the original

Notice or Petition with the Division.

ADOPTED, ISSUED, AND ORDERED.

DATED: September 7, 2021 Bronx, New York

> LICHA M. NYIENDO **COMMISSIONER**

- 2 -

TO:

Complainant

Tami R. Paladino 93 Campus Drive Rochester, NY 14623

Complainant Attorney

Jennifer A. Shoemaker, Esq. Underberg & Kessler, LLP 300 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604

Respondent

Attn: Evan Thompson, Senior Associate Counsel Rochester Institute of Technology Office of Legal Affairs, Finance & Administration Division 154 Lomb Memorial Drive Rochester, NY 14623

Respondent Attorney

Katherine McClung, Esq. Bond, Schoeneck and King, PLLC 350 Linden Oaks, 3rd Floor Rochester, NY 14625

Hon. Letitia James, Attorney General Attn: Civil Rights Bureau 28 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005

State Division of Human Rights

Robert Goldstein, Director of Prosecutions
Lilliana Estrella-Castillo, Chief Administrative Law Judge
Michael T. Groben, Administrative Law Judge
Michael Swirsky, Litigation and Appeals
Caroline J. Downey, General Counsel
Melissa Franco, Deputy Commissioner for Enforcement
Peter G. Buchenholz, Adjudication Counsel
Matthew Menes, Adjudication Counsel



Division of Human Rights

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

on the Complaint of

TAMI R. PALADINO,

Complainant,

v.

Complanian

ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

Respondent.

RECOMMENDED ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CONVENIENCE

Case No. 10201570

Federal Charge No. 16GB903452

PROCEEDINGS IN THE CASE

On May 29, 2019, Complainant filed a verified complaint with the New York State

Division of Human Rights ("Division"), charging Respondent with unlawful discriminatory

practices relating to employment in violation of N.Y. Exec. Law, art. 15 ("Human Rights Law").

After investigation, the Division found that it had jurisdiction over the complaint and that probable cause existed to believe that Respondent had engaged in unlawful discriminatory practices. The Division thereupon referred the case to public hearing.

The case was assigned to Michael T. Groben, an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Division. Complainant was represented by Underberg & Kessler LLP (Jennifer A. Shoemaker, Esq., of counsel). Respondent was represented by Bond Schoeneck & King, PLLC (Katherine McClung, Esq., of counsel).

Case 6:22-cv-06028-FPG-MWP Document 13-1 Filed 04/19/22 Page 7 of 7

By letter dated August 5, 2021, Complainant requested that her case be dismissed for

administrative convenience so that Complainant may pursue her claims of discrimination in

federal court.

By letter dated August 6, 2021, ALJ Groben requested that Respondent provide its

objections to the proposed dismissal, if any, in writing by close of business on August 16, 2021.

Respondent did not file any objections.

Pursuant to Section 297.3(c) of the Human Rights Law, the complaint should be

dismissed on the grounds of administrative convenience. The Complainant intends to pursue

federal remedies in court, in which forum all the issues concerning the question of discrimination

charged can be resolved.

ORDERED, that the case be dismissed for administrative convenience.

Dated: August 25, 2021 Bronx, New York

> Michael T. Groben Administrative Law Judge

- 2 -